

# Stresstesting a Consumer Loan Portfolio

Eurobanking 2010

## readybank in a Nutshell

- Founded in 1929
- Owned by WestLB AG since March 2006
- About 350 employees (including service company)
- Balance sheet total: 750 mio. EUR
- Headoffice in Berlin, large office in Düsseldorf
- Specialised in consumer lending, main distribution channels:
  - Local savings banks (strategic partnership)
  - Car dealers

## Agenda

- Motivation: Why Stresstesting?
- First Approach
- Second Approach
  - Basic Stress
  - Scenarios
- Results and Reporting
- „Reverse“ Stresstesting

## Why stresstesting?

- Financial crisis: economic situations that had been regarded totally unrealistic can become true!
- What-if-analyses show if a bank could cope with this kind of situations.
- More detailed requirements for stresstesting in the new version of the minimum requirements for risk management (MaRisk), effective from 01.01.2010.

### Stresstesting must

- be based on the main risk factors,
- consider risk concentrations,
- use historical and hypothetic scenarios,
- considered the results in the risk-bearing capacity.

## First Approach

- Determine the sensitivity of default rates or losses of readybank to macro-economic developments!
- Drawbacks:
  - Data history too short (only downturn phase)
  - Drastic changes in target groups and distribution channels
  - Drastic changes in the process of granting loans
  - Drastic changes in collection management



The effects of the changes in policies and portfolios are stronger than the influence of the macro economic factors.

## Second Approach

- Direct stress of probabilities of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD)
- Combine historical and hypothetical stress in two steps:

### 1. Historical

#### Basic stress

Migrate the portfolio with conservative migration matrices derived from historical data

=> average PDs increase

### 2. Hypothetic

#### Scenarios

Additional hypothetical scenarios that increase PDs and LGDs

# Basic Stress

Average rating migrations for behavioural score

Rating class	Rating class next month																
	0a	0b	0c	0d	0e	1a	1b	1c	1d	1e	2a	2b	2c	2d	2e	2f	D
0a	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0b	5	90	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0c	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
0d																	
0e																	
1a																	
1b																	
1c																	
1d																	
1e																	
2a																	
2b																	
2c																	
2d																	
2e																	
2f																	
D																	

Downgrade

Upgrade

Behavioural score is only available from 08/2008 onwards

→ Average represents a downturn situation

# Scenarios

## 1) Bankruptcy of Opel

- Unemployment: Opel and supplying industries
- General downturn in the locations concerned
- Increase of PD
- Decreasing prices of Opel cars
- Increase of LGD for car loans

## 2) General crisis of car industry

## 3) Extreme increase of general unemployment

Scenario	Description	# employees concerned	% of all employees	% car loans concerned
1	Opel bankruptcy	100.000	0,25%	15%
2	Crisis car industry	800.000	2,00%	45%
3	Unemployment increase	4.000.000	10,00%	

# Generic PD Adjustments for Scenarios

- Derive the impact of unemployment on behavioural scores
- Change of employment status, income, payment history
- Downgrades of 1-7 rating classes
- Generic distribution of downgrades dependent on scenario impact

Rating	Rating class next month																
	0a	0b	0c	0d	0e	1a	1b	1c	1d	1e	2a	2b	2c	2d	2e	2f	D
0a	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0b	5	90	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0c	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
0d																	
0e																	
1a																	
1b																	
1c																	
1d																	
1e																	
2a																	
2b																	
2c																	
2d																	
2e																	
2f																	
D																	



Rating	Generic adjustments																
	0a	0b	0c	0d	0e	1a	1b	1c	1d	1e	2a	2b	2c	2d	2e	2f	D
0a	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0b	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0c	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0d	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0e	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1a	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
1b	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
1c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0
1d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0
1e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1	0
2a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	2	1
2b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	4	3
2c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	2	7
2d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	1	9
2e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	10
2f	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	10
D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# Stressing LGD for Scenario 1

- Assumptions:

- 15% of car loans are covered by Opels (historical data)
- Recovery from selling Opels is reduced by 30% (hypothetic assumption)

→  $LGD(\text{other car loans}) = 1 - \text{cash recovery} - \text{sales recovery}$

→  $LGD(\text{Opel loans}) = 1 - \text{cash recovery} - 70\% * \text{sales recovery}$

→  $LGD(\text{Car loans}) = 15\% * LGD(\text{Opel loans}) + 85\% * LGD(\text{other car loans})$

# Results and Reporting

Use stresstesting in the context of the risk-bearing capacity

- Apply generic migration matrix once (for each scenario)
- Apply basic stress migration matrix till the end of the year
- Calculate expected and unexpected loss based on stressed PDs and LGDs
- Calculate total risk potential by adding stressed losses of other risk types
- Monthly reporting of capital utilisation

	Total risk potential in % of equity
Dark red	>100%
Red	90%-100%
Yellow	75%-90%
Green	<75%



Thank you for your attention!

Sabine Detering  
Risikocontrolling  
readybank ag

tel +49 211.4955.2232  
fax +49 211.4955.2202

sabine.detering@readybank.de  
www.readybank.de

Jan-Hendrik Stosshoff  
Risikocontrolling  
readybank ag

tel +49 211.4955.2234  
fax +49 211.4955.2202

Jan-Hendrik.Stosshoff@readybank.de  
www.readybank.de